

Effect of Change in P on W and r (Stolper-Samuelson)

Formal analysis: effect of change in P on w and r. Y is numeraire.

A. Equilibrium conditions (P=MC conditions)

$$(1) a_{Lx} W + a_{Kx} r = P \text{ (cost of producing X)}$$

$$(2) a_{Ly} w + a_{Ky} r = 1 \text{ (cost of producing Y)}$$

B. Totally differentiate the 2 above conditions:

$$a_{Lx} dw + a_{Kx} dr = dP - ((wd a_{Lx} + r a_{Kx})/P).$$

Dividing by P, etc. gives the elasticity form:

$$S_{Lx} dw/w + S_{Kx} dr/r = dP/P - ((wd a_{Lx} + rd a_{Kx})/P).$$

These a's are not constants: $a_{Lx} = a_{Lx}(X, r/w) = K^*/L^*$ where * is equilibrium level. W and r are functions, implicitly, of X^* and Y^* and s_{Lx} (Elasticity form) = $w a_{Lx} / P$

Similarly for Y:

$$W a_{Ly} dw/w + r a_{Ky} dr/r = 0 - (wd a_{Ly} + rd a_{Ky}).$$

Now a_{Lx} and a_{Kx} change only due to technology or w/r ratio. If w/r ratio rises, a_{Lx} falls and a_{Kx} rises.

C. What is slope of isoquant? Remember, CRS is assumed. Otherwise, a change in P has a scale effect as well as a substitution effect on s. That is, a_{Lx} is not only a function of a_{Kx} .

This is the equation of the isoquant. In equilibrium (under cost minimization for the firm, which is given P, w and r) the isoquant is tangent to the isocost line.

Min cost over $a_{Lx}, a_{Kx} = \min a_{Lx} (a_{Kx})w + a_{Kx} r$ over a_{Kx}

$$\implies (d a_{Lx} / d a_{Kx}) w + r = 0$$

Now dL/dK given $X=X_0$ corresponds to $d a_{Lx} / d a_{Kx} = -r/w$

Around equilibrium. Envelope theorem. Thus,

$$rd a_{Kx} + wd a_{Lx} = 0$$

(Hence usefulness of this for distortions, when you're not around the equilibrium and during growth.) Thus $s_{Lx} dw/w + s_{Kx} dr/r = dP/P$, or

Define $Q_{ix} \equiv s_{Lx} = w a_{Lx} / P$. Then

$$(3) Q_{Lx} w^\wedge + Q_{Kx} r^\wedge = P^\wedge \text{ and}$$

$$(4) Q_{Ly} w^\wedge + Q_{Ky} r^\wedge = 0$$

Or, in matrix notation,

$$\begin{matrix} Q_{Lx} & Q_{Kx} & W^\wedge & P \\ Q_{Ly} & Q_{Ky} & R^\wedge & = & 0 \end{matrix}$$

C. Solve matrix: $w^\wedge = (Q_{Ky} / \det Q) P^\wedge$ and

$$R^\wedge = (a_{Lx} / \det(Q)) P^\wedge$$

$\det(Q) = Q_{Lx} Q_{Ky} - Q_{Kx} Q_{Ly}$ and Q is the matrix of Q_{ij} 's.

D. Since Y is K -intensive by definition, denominator is positive. That is, P rising means w rises and r falls. Denominator is a fraction $<$ numerator; in elasticity form, $w^\wedge / P^\wedge > 1$.

Magnification effect: wage rate goes up more than price. And $r^\wedge / P^\wedge < 0$. So

$$W^\wedge > P^\wedge > r^\wedge$$

The change in price is a weighted average of changes in factor prices.

E. What happens to w/r ? $(W/r)^\wedge = \{1/\det(Q)\} P^\wedge$ This also reflects the magnification effect via the factor intensities. If Q_{Kx} and Q_{Ky} are close together, large change in w due to small change in P . (3) and (4) give this result also.

F. There is a 1:1 relationship between the factor rentals ratio and commodity prices. This is the **Stolper-Samuelson Theorem**. Suppose we impose a tariff on an imported good.

What happens to the MPK/MPL? Should laborers favor the tariff? Previously, producers of that good were assumed to benefit and consumers of it to lose out. Thus, there were no unambiguous answers. But Stolper-Samuelson gives unambiguous answer.

